



Practice/Clinical Guidelines published on: 01/2011
by the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES)

Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment, and Use of Laparoscopy for Surgical Problems during Pregnancy

Preamble

This document provides specific recommendations and guidelines to assist physicians in the diagnostic work-up and treatment of surgical problems in pregnant patients, focusing on the use of laparoscopy. Surgical interventions during pregnancy should minimize fetal risk without comprising the safety of the mother.

Recent data show the safety and efficacy of laparoscopy during all trimesters for many surgical conditions with outcomes similar to conventional operations. Surgeons must be aware of data regarding differences in techniques used for pregnant patients to optimize outcomes

Disclaimer

Guidelines for clinical practice are intended to indicate preferable approaches to medical problems as established by experts in the field. These recommendations will be based on existing data or a consensus of expert opinion, when little or no data are available. Guidelines are applicable to all physicians who address the clinical problem(s) without regard to specialty training or interests, and are intended to indicate the preferable, but not necessarily the only, acceptable approaches due to the complexity of the healthcare environment. Guidelines are intended to be flexible. Given the wide range of specifics in any health care problem, the surgeon must always choose the course best suited to the individual patient and the variables in existence at the moment of decision. Guidelines are developed under the auspices of the Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons and its various committees, and approved by the Board of Governors. Each clinical practice guideline has been systematically researched, reviewed and revised by the guidelines committee, and reviewed by an appropriate multidisciplinary team. The recommendations are therefore considered valid at the time of its production based on the data available. Each guideline is scheduled for periodic review to allow incorporation of pertinent new developments in medical research knowledge and practice.

I. Introduction

Approximately 1 in 500 to 1 in 635 women will require non-obstetrical abdominal surgery during their pregnancies [1, 2]. The most common non-obstetrical surgical emergencies complicating pregnancy are acute

appendicitis, cholecystitis, and intestinal obstruction [1] . Other conditions that may require operations during pregnancy include ovarian cysts, masses or torsion, symptomatic cholelithiasis, adrenal tumors, splenic disorders, symptomatic hernias, complications of inflammatory bowel diseases, and abdominal pain of unknown etiology. During its infancy, some argued that laparoscopy was contraindicated during pregnancy due to concerns for uterine injury and fetal perfusion. As surgeons have gained more experience with laparoscopy it has become the preferred treatment for many surgical diseases in the gravid patient [3].

II. Definitions

Both the quality of the evidence and the strength of the recommendation for each of the below guidelines were assessed according to the GRADE system[4](see Table 1). This is a 4-tiered system for assessing the quality of evidence (very low, low, moderate, or high) and a 2-tiered system for strength of the recommendation (weak or strong). Additional definitions are provided by SAGES in "The Definitions Document: A Reference for Use of SAGES Guidelines".

III. Diagnosis and Workup

Managing abdominal pain in the gravid patient presents a dilemma in which the clinician must consider the risks and benefits of diagnostic modalities and therapies to both the mother and the fetus. An underlying principle to the workup of abdominal pain was stated by Sir Zachary Cope in 1921, "Earlier diagnosis means better prognosis." [5]. In pregnant women with abdominal pain, fetal outcome depends on the outcome of the mother. Optimal maternal outcome may require radiologic imaging, sometimes with ionizing radiation. A risk-benefit discussion with the patient should occur prior to any diagnostic study.

A. Imaging Techniques

Ultrasound

Guideline 1: Ultrasonographic imaging during pregnancy is safe and useful in identifying the etiology of acute abdominal pain in the pregnant patient (Moderate; Strong).

Abdominal pain in the pregnant patient can be separated into gynecologic and non-gynecologic causes. When radiographic studies are required ultrasound is considered safe, as no adverse effects to mother or fetus from ultrasound have been reported. It is the initial radiographic test of choice for most gynecologic causes of abdominal pain including adnexal mass, torsion, placental abruption, placenta previa, uterine rupture and fetal demise. Ultrasound is also a useful study for many non-gynecologic causes of abdominal pain, including symptomatic gallstones and appendicitis [6-12].

Risk of Ionizing Radiation

Guideline 2: Expeditious and accurate diagnosis should take precedence over concerns for ionizing radiation. Cumulative radiation dosage should be limited to 5-10 rads during pregnancy (Moderate; Strong).

Significant radiation exposure may lead to chromosomal mutations, neurologic abnormalities, mental retardation, and increased risk of childhood leukemia. Cumulating radiation dosage is the primary risk factor for adverse fetal effects, but fetal age at exposure is also important [8, 9, 13]. Fetal mortality is greatest when exposure occurs within the first week of conception. It has been recommended that the cumulative radiation dose to the conceptus during pregnancy be less than 5-10 rads [14]. As an example, the radiation dose to the conceptus for

a plain abdominal radiograph averages 0.1-0.3 rads, while a CT of the pelvis yields up to 5 rads of fetal exposure[15] (see Table 3 for additional radiation doses).

The most sensitive time period for central nervous system teratogenesis is between 10 and 17 weeks gestation, and routine radiographs should be avoided during this time. In later pregnancy the concern shifts from teratogenesis to increasing the risk of childhood hematologic malignancy. The background incidence of childhood cancer and leukemia is approximately 0.2 -0.3%. Radiation may increase that incidence by 0.06% per 1 rad delivered to the fetus [14].

Exposure of the conceptus to 0.5 rad increases the risk of spontaneous abortion, major malformations, mental retardation, and childhood malignancy to one additional case in 6,000 above baseline risk [15]. It has been suggested that the risk of aberrant teratogenesis is negligible at 5 rads or less and that the risk of malformation is significantly increased at doses above 15 rads. No single diagnostic study should exceed 5 rads [9, 13, 14, 16-19].

Computed Tomography

Guideline 3: Contemporary multidetector CT protocols deliver a low radiation dose and may be used judiciously during pregnancy (Moderate; Weak).

Computed tomography (CT) may be used in the evaluation of abdominal pain in the gravid patient [20]. Radiation exposure to the fetus may be as low as 2 rads for pelvic CT scans but can reach 5 rads when a full scan of the abdomen and pelvis is performed [8, 21, 22]. This radiation dose is considered safe but may affect teratogenesis and increase the risk of developing childhood hematologic malignancies [21]. CT protocols and radiation doses vary by institution, and the individual practitioner should be aware of the radiation exposure at his or her institution and attempt to minimize fetal radiation exposure, if possible.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Guideline 4: MR Imaging without the use of intravenous Gadolinium can be performed at any stage of pregnancy (Low; Strong).

MRI provides excellent soft tissue imaging without ionizing radiation and is safe to use in pregnant patients. Some authors express concern about the detrimental effects of the acoustic noise to the fetus[23], but no specific adverse effects of MRI on fetal development have been reported [24-28]. Intravenous Gadolinium agents cross the placenta and may be detrimental; therefore their use during pregnancy should be confined to select cases where it is considered essential. [25, 29, 30].

Nuclear Medicine

Guideline 5: Administration of radionuclides for diagnostic studies is generally safe for mother and fetus (Low; Weak).

Radiopharmaceuticals, including technetium-99m, can generally be administered at doses that provide whole fetal exposure of less than 0.5 rad [31, 32], well within the safe range of fetal exposure. Consultation with a nuclear medicine radiologist or technologist should be considered prior to performing the study.

Cholangiography

Guideline 6: Intraoperative and endoscopic cholangiography exposes the mother and fetus to minimal radiation and may be used selectively during pregnancy. The lower abdomen should be shielded when performing cholangiography during pregnancy to decrease the radiation exposure to the fetus (Low; Weak).

Radiation exposure during cholangiography is estimated to be 0.2-0.5 rads[33]. Fluoroscopy generally delivers a radiation dose of up to 20 rads/minute, but varies depending on the x-ray equipment used, patient positioning, and patient size. During cholangiography, the fetus should be shielded by placing a protective device between the source of ionizing radiation and the patient. Efforts should be made to shield the fetus from radiation exposure without compromising the field of view necessary for proper imaging. No adverse effects to pregnant patients or their fetuses have been reported specifically from cholangiography.

The radiation exposure during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) averages 2-12 rads, but can be substantially higher for long procedures[34]. ERCP also carries risks beyond the radiation exposure such as bleeding and pancreatitis. In non-pregnant patients, the risk of bleeding is 1.3% and risk of pancreatitis is 3.5%-11%[35]. These additional risks warrant the same careful risk-benefit analysis and discussion with the patient as other operative and procedural interventions [8, 18, 36-38]. Alternatives to fluoroscopy include intra-operative ultrasound and choledochoscopy. These are both acceptable methods provided the surgeon has the appropriate equipment and skills to accurately perform the examinations.

Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is an alternative approach that is gaining widespread acceptance. It is a useful diagnostic tool but offers no therapeutic capability. It has not been studied specifically in pregnant women.

B. Surgical Techniques

Guideline 7: Diagnostic laparoscopy is safe and effective when used selectively in the workup and treatment of acute abdominal processes in pregnancy (Moderate; Strong).

Diagnostic laparoscopy provides direct visualization of intra-abdominal organs. While not enough data are available to recommend this as a primary diagnostic approach in the pregnant patient, it is a reasonable alternative to radiologic imaging. The benefits of operative exploration are avoidance of ionizing radiation, diagnostic accuracy, and the capability to treat a surgical problem at the time of diagnosis. Furthermore, it has been shown that laparoscopy can be performed safely during any trimester of pregnancy with minimal morbidity to the fetus and mother [39-51].

IV. Patient Selection

Pre-operative Decision Making

Guideline 8: Laparoscopic treatment of acute abdominal disease has the same indications in pregnant and non-pregnant patients (Moderate; Strong).

Once the decision to operate has been made, the surgical approach (laparotomy versus laparoscopy) should be determined based on the skills of the surgeon and the availability of the appropriate staff and equipment. An appropriate discussion with the patient regarding the risks and benefits of surgical intervention should be undertaken. Benefits of laparoscopy during pregnancy appear similar to those benefits in non-pregnant patients including less postoperative pain, less postoperative ileus, decreased length of hospital stays and faster return to work [40, 45, 52-55].

Laparoscopy and Trimester of Pregnancy

Guideline 9: Laparoscopy can be safely performed during any trimester of pregnancy (Moderate; Strong).

Operative intervention may be performed in any trimester of pregnancy. Historical recommendations were to delay surgery until the second trimester in order to reduce the rates of spontaneous abortion and preterm labor [56]. Recent literature has shown that pregnant patients may undergo laparoscopic surgery safely during any trimester without any increased risk to the mother or fetus [39, 40, 55, 57-60]. Postponing necessary operations until after parturition may, in some cases, increase the rates of complications for both mother and fetus [57, 61-63].

It has been suggested that the gestational age limit for successful completion of laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy is 26 to 28 weeks [44]. This has been refuted by several studies in which laparoscopic cholecystectomy and appendectomy have been successfully performed late in the third trimester [58, 60, 64, 65].

Although laparoscopy can be performed safely in pregnancy with good fetal and maternal outcomes, the long-term effects to the children have not been well studied. One recent study evaluated eleven children from one to eight years and found no growth or developmental delay [51].

V. Treatment

There are many advantages of laparoscopy in the pregnant patient including: decreased fetal respiratory depression due to diminished postoperative narcotic requirements [45, 66-68], lower risk of wound complications [66, 69, 70], diminished postoperative maternal hypoventilation [66, 67], shorter hospital stays, and decreased risk of thromboembolic events. The improved visualization in laparoscopy may reduce the risk of uterine irritability by decreasing the need for uterine manipulation [71]. Decreased uterine irritability results in lower rates of spontaneous abortion and preterm delivery [72].

Patient Positioning

Guideline 10: Gravid patients should be placed in the left lateral decubitus position to minimize compression of the vena cava (Moderate; Strong).

When the pregnant patient is placed in a supine position, the gravid uterus places pressure on the inferior vena cava resulting in decreased venous return to the heart. This decrease in venous return results in significant reduction in cardiac output with concomitant maternal hypotension, and decreased placental perfusion during surgery [73-75]. Placing the patient in a left lateral decubitus position will shift the uterus off the vena cava improving venous return and cardiac output [73, 74].

Initial Port Placement

Guideline 11: Initial abdominal access can be safely accomplished with an open (Hasson) technique, Veress needle or optical trocar, if the location is adjusted according to fundal height and previous incisions (Moderate; Strong).

There has been much debate regarding abdominal access in the pregnant patient with preferences toward either a Hasson technique or Veress needle. The concern for use of the Veress needle has largely been based on concerns for injury to the uterus or other intraabdominal organs [76, 77]. Because the intraabdominal domain is

altered during the second and third trimester initially accessing the abdomen via a subcostal approach has been recommended [58, 60, 64, 71]. If the site of initial abdominal access is adjusted according to fundal height and the abdominal wall is elevated during insertion, both the Hassan technique and Veress needle can be safely and effectively used [58, 60, 78].

It has also been recommended that trocar placement be altered from the standard configuration to account for the increased size of the uterus [79, 80]. Ultrasound guided trocar placement has been described in the literature as an additional safeguard to avoid uterine injury [81].

Insufflation Pressure

Guideline 12: CO₂ insufflation of 10-15 mmHg can be safely used for laparoscopy in the pregnant patient (Moderate; Strong).

The potential for adverse consequences from CO₂ insufflation in the pregnant patient has led to apprehension over its use. As such, some authors advocate gasless laparoscopy in pregnant patients, but this technique not been widely adopted [82-89].

The pregnant patient's diaphragm is upwardly displaced by the growing fetus, which results in decreased residual lung volume and functional residual capacity [90]. Upward displacement of the diaphragm by pneumoperitoneum is more worrisome in a pregnant patient with existing restrictive pulmonary physiology. Some have recommended intraabdominal insufflation pressures be maintained at less than 12 mmHg to avoid worsening pulmonary physiology in gravid women [80, 91]. Others have argued that insufflation less than 12 mmHg may not provide adequate visualization of the intra-abdominal cavity [58, 60]. Pressures of 15 mmHg have been used during laparoscopy in pregnant patients without increasing adverse outcomes to the patient or her fetus [58, 60].

Because CO₂ exchange occurs with intraperitoneal insufflation there has been concern for deleterious effects to the fetus from pneumoperitoneum. Some animal studies have confirmed fetal acidosis with associated tachycardia, hypertension and hypercapnia during CO₂ pneumoperitoneum [92-94], while other animal studies contradict these findings[95]. There are no data showing detrimental effects to human fetuses from CO₂ pneumoperitoneum [44].

Intra-operative CO₂ Monitoring

Guideline 13: Intraoperative CO₂ monitoring by capnography should be used during laparoscopy in the pregnant patient (Moderate; Strong).

Fetal acidosis and associated fetal instability in CO₂ pneumoperitoneum have been documented in animal studies, though no long-term effects from these changes have been identified [92-94, 96]. Fetal acidosis with insufflation has not been documented in the human fetus, but concerns over potential detrimental effects of acidosis have led to the recommendation of maternal CO₂ monitoring [97, 98]. Initially, there was debate over maternal blood gas monitoring of arterial carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) versus end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂) monitoring, but the less invasive capnography has been demonstrated to adequately reflect maternal acid-base status in humans [99]. Several large studies have documented the safety and efficacy of EtCO₂ measurements in pregnant women [44, 58, 60] making routine blood gas monitoring unnecessary.

Venous Thromboembolic (VTE) Prophylaxis

Guideline 14: Intraoperative and postoperative pneumatic compression devices and early postoperative ambulation are recommended prophylaxis for deep venous thrombosis in the gravid patient (Moderate; Strong).

Pregnancy is a hypercoagulable state with a 0.1-0.2% incidence of deep venous thrombosis [100]. CO₂ pneumoperitoneum may increase the risk of deep venous thrombosis by predisposing to venous stasis. Insufflation of 12 mmHg causes a significant decrease in blood flow that cannot be completely reversed with intermittent pneumatic compression devices or intermittent electric calf stimulators [101].

Although there is little research on prophylaxis for deep venous thrombosis in the pregnant patient, general principles for laparoscopic surgery apply. Because of the increased risk of thrombosis, prophylaxis with pneumatic compression devices both intraoperatively and postoperatively and early postoperative ambulation are recommended. There are no data regarding use of unfractionated or low molecular weight heparin for prophylaxis in pregnant patients undergoing laparoscopy, though its use has been suggested in patients undergoing extended major operations [102]. In patients who require anticoagulation during pregnancy, heparin has proven safe and is the agent of choice [103].

Gallbladder Disease

Guideline 15: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the treatment of choice in the pregnant patient with gallbladder disease, regardless of trimester (Moderate; Strong).

In the past non-operative management of symptomatic cholelithiasis in pregnancy has been recommended [61, 104-106]. At present early surgical management is the treatment of choice. Early surgical management of gravid patients with symptomatic gallstones is supported by data showing recurrent symptoms in 92% of patients managed non-operatively who present in the first trimester, 64% who present in the second trimester, and 44% who present in the third trimester [107, 108]. This delay in surgical management results in increased rates of hospitalizations, spontaneous abortions, preterm labor, and preterm delivery compared to those undergoing cholecystectomy [39, 40, 52, 88-91].

Altogether, nonoperative management of symptomatic gallstones in gravid patients results in recurrent symptoms in more than 50% of patients, and 23% of such patients develop acute cholecystitis or gallstone pancreatitis [57]. Gallstone pancreatitis results in fetal loss in 10% to 60% of pregnant patients [109, 110].

The significant morbidity and mortality associated with untreated gallbladder disease in the gravid patient favor surgical treatment. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is preferred because of the salutary outcomes and favorable side-effect profile [59]. There have been no reports of fetal demise for laparoscopic cholecystectomy performed during the first and second trimesters [111]. Furthermore, decreased rates of spontaneous abortion and preterm labor have been reported in laparoscopic cholecystectomy when compared to laparotomy [112].

Choledocholithiasis

Guideline 16: Choledocholithiasis during pregnancy may be managed with preoperative endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with sphincterotomy followed by laparoscopic cholecystectomy, laparoscopic common bile duct exploration, or postoperative ERCP (Moderate; Strong).

Complications associated with choledocholithiasis are relatively uncommon during pregnancy [113, 114]. However, these complications can result in significant morbidity and mortality making appropriate management

of these patients important. There have been no trials comparing common bile duct exploration at the time of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to ERCP followed by cholecystectomy in pregnant patients. Good outcomes have been described with intraoperative common bile duct exploration, but few cases have been reported [115]. Multiple studies have demonstrated safe and effective management of common bile duct stones with preoperative ERCP followed by laparoscopic cholecystectomy [116-121].

Laparoscopic Appendectomy

Guideline 17: Laparoscopic appendectomy may be performed safely in pregnant patients with appendicitis (Moderate; Strong).

The laparoscopic approach is the preferred treatment for pregnant patients with presumed appendicitis[122], and the preponderance of studies have shown the technique to be safe and effective [58, 60, 123-129]. These retrospective series have shown very low rates of pre-term delivery and, in most series, no reports of fetal demise.

Accurate and timely diagnosis of appendicitis in the gravid patient may minimize the risk of fetal loss and optimize outcomes. In some circumstances clinical findings may be sufficient for diagnosis. When the diagnosis remains uncertain, prompt ultrasound, CT, or MRI are useful adjuncts to more accurate diagnosis of appendicitis and decrease the rate of negative laparoscopy. However the false negative rates of CT and MRI studies have yet to be fully evaluated in the gravid patient, and some hospitals may not have immediate access to these radiologic modalities.

The published data overwhelmingly attest to the safety of laparoscopic appendectomy in the gravid patient, but one recent study describes a higher risk for laparoscopy compared to laparotomy[130]. This population-based study showed an odds ratio of 2.3 for fetal loss in laparoscopy compared to conventional surgery for appendicitis. This single study does not contraindicate laparoscopic appendectomy in pregnant patients, but does illuminate a need for further research on the subject. Unless future studies bolster the above observational data, laparoscopic appendectomy remains the treatment of choice for pregnant patients.

Solid Organ Resection

Guideline 18: Laparoscopic adrenalectomy, nephrectomy and splenectomy are safe procedures in pregnant patients (Low; Weak).

Laparoscopic adrenalectomy during pregnancy has proven effective in the management of primary hyperaldosteronism [131], Cushing's syndrome [132-134], and pheochromocytoma [135-140]. Laparoscopic splenectomy has also become an increasingly accepted surgical approach in pregnancy [141]. Gravid patients with antiphospholipid syndrome [142], hereditary spherocytosis [143], and autoimmune thrombocytopenia purpura [141, 144, 145] have undergone laparoscopic splenectomy with good outcomes for mother and fetus. Two cases of laparoscopic nephrectomy have been reported in the first and second trimester without any associated complications and both infants were born healthy at term [146, 147].

Adnexal Masses

Guideline 19: Laparoscopy is safe and effective treatment in gravid patients with symptomatic ovarian cystic masses. Observation is acceptable for all other cystic lesions provided ultrasound is not concerning for malignancy and tumor markers are normal. Initial observation is warranted for most cystic lesions < 6 cm in size (Low; Strong).

The incidence of adnexal masses during pregnancy is 2% [148]. Most of these adnexal masses discovered during the first trimester are functional cysts that resolve spontaneously by the second trimester [79]. 80% to 95% of adnexal masses \leq 6cm in diameter in pregnant patients spontaneously resolve; therefore nonoperative management is warranted in such cases. [149, 150].

Persistent masses are most commonly functional cysts or mature cystic teratomas with the incidence of malignancy reported at 2% to 6% [151]. Historically, the concern over malignant potential and risks associated with emergency surgery have led to elective removal of masses that persist after 16 weeks and are > 6 cm in diameter [150-152]. Recent literature supports the safety of close observation in these patients when ultrasound findings are not concerning for malignancy, tumor markers (CA125, LDH) are normal, and the patient is asymptomatic [153-156]. In the event that surgery is indicated, various case reports support the use of laparoscopy in the management of adnexal masses in every trimester [157-169]. Perhaps more informative, a retrospective review of 88 pregnant women demonstrated equivalent maternal and fetal outcomes in adnexal masses managed laparoscopically and by laparotomy [71].

Adnexal Torsion

Guideline 20: Laparoscopy is recommended for both diagnosis and treatment of adnexal torsion unless clinical severity warrants laparotomy (Low; Strong).

Ten to 15% of adnexal masses undergo torsion [170]. Laparoscopy is the preferred method of both diagnosis and treatment in the gravid patient with adnexal torsion [171]. Multiple case reports have confirmed safety and efficacy of laparoscopy for adnexal torsion in pregnant patients [172-176]. If diagnosed before tissue necrosis, adnexal torsion may be managed by simple laparoscopic detorsion [177]. However, with late diagnosis of torsion adnexal infarction may ensue, which can result in peritonitis, spontaneous abortion, preterm delivery and death [152, 178]. The gangrenous adnexa should be completely resected [179] and progesterone therapy initiated after removal of the corpus luteum, if less than 12 weeks gestation [177]. Laparotomy may be necessary as dictated by the patient's clinical condition and operative findings [180].

VI. Perioperative Care

Fetal Heart Monitoring

Guideline 21: Fetal heart monitoring should occur preoperatively and postoperatively in the setting of urgent abdominal surgery during pregnancy (Moderate; Strong).

While intraoperative fetal heart rate monitoring was once thought to be the most accurate method to detect fetal distress during laparoscopy, no intraoperative fetal heart rate abnormalities have been reported in the literature [57, 112]. This has led some to recommend preoperative and postoperative monitoring of the fetal heart rate with no increased fetal morbidity having been reported [58, 60].

Obstetrical Consultation

Guideline 22: Obstetric consultation can be obtained pre- and/or postoperatively based on the severity of the patient's disease, gestational age, and availability of the consultant (Moderate; Strong).

Maternal and fetal monitoring should be part of any pregnant patient's care and continue throughout her hospitalization, but the timing of a formal obstetric consultation will vary based on availability of the consultant and the severity of the patient's condition. Delaying the treatment of an acute abdominal process to obtain such

a consultation should be avoided as treatment delay may increase the risk of morbidity and mortality to the mother and fetus [181].

Tocolytics

Guideline 23: Tocolytics should not be used prophylactically in pregnant women undergoing surgery but should be considered perioperatively when signs of preterm labor are present (High; Strong).

Threatened preterm labor can be successfully managed with tocolytic therapy. The specific agent and indications for the use of tocolytics should be individualized and based on the recommendation of an obstetrician [182-185]. No literature supports the use of prophylactic tocolytics.

VII. Summary of Recommendations

More data have accumulated recently as laparoscopy has become common during pregnancy. Most of the data are found in case series and retrospective reviews which limit the ability to provide absolute guidelines. Further controlled clinical studies are needed to clarify these guidelines, and revision may be necessary as new data appear. The current recommendations for laparoscopy during surgery are:

Diagnosis and Workup

A. Imaging Techniques

Ultrasound

_Guideline 1: Ultrasonographic imaging during pregnancy is safe and useful in identifying the etiology of acute abdominal pain in the pregnant patient (Moderate; Strong).

Risk of Ionizing Radiation

_Guideline 2: Expeditious and accurate diagnosis should take precedence over concerns for ionizing radiation. Cumulative radiation dosage should be limited to 5-10 rads during pregnancy (Moderate; Strong).

Computed Tomography

_Guideline 3: Contemporary multidetector CT protocols deliver a low radiation dose to the fetus and may be used judiciously during pregnancy (Moderate; Weak).

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

_Guideline 4: MR Imaging without intravenous Gadolinium can be performed at any stage of pregnancy (Low; Strong).

Nuclear Medicine

_Guideline 5: Administration of radionuclides for diagnostic studies is generally safe for mother and fetus (Low; Weak).

Cholangiography

_Guideline 6: Intraoperative and endoscopic cholangiography exposes the mother and fetus to minimal radiation and may be used selectively during pregnancy. The lower abdomen should be shielded when

performing cholangiography during pregnancy to decrease the radiation exposure to the fetus (Low; Weak).

B.Surgical techniques

Guideline 7: Diagnostic laparoscopy is safe and effective when used selectively in the workup and treatment of acute abdominal processes in pregnancy (Moderate; Strong).

Patient Selection

Pre-operative Decision Making

_Guideline 8: Laparoscopic treatment of acute abdominal disease has the same indications in pregnant and non-pregnant patients (Moderate; Strong).

Laparoscopy and Trimester of Pregnancy

_Guideline 9: Laparoscopy can be safely performed during any trimester of pregnancy (Moderate; Strong).

Treatment

Patient Positioning

_Guideline 10: Gravid patients should be placed in the left lateral decubitus position to minimize compression of the vena cava (Moderate; Strong).

Initial Port Placement

_Guideline 11: Initial abdominal access can be safely performed with an open (Hasson) technique, Veress needle or optical trocar, if the location is adjusted according to fundal height and previous incisions (Moderate; Weak).

Insufflation Pressure

_Guideline 12: CO2 insufflation of 10-15 mmHg can be safely used for laparoscopy in the pregnant patient (Moderate; Strong).

Intra-operative CO2 monitoring

_Guideline 13: Intraoperative CO2 monitoring by capnography should be used during laparoscopy in the pregnant patient (Moderate; Strong).

Venous Thromboembolic (VTE) Prophylaxis

_Guideline 14: Intraoperative and postoperative pneumatic compression devices and early postoperative ambulation are recommended prophylaxis for deep venous thrombosis in the gravid patient (Moderate; Strong).

Gallbladder Disease

_Guideline 15: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the treatment of choice in the pregnant patient with gallbladder disease, regardless of trimester (Moderate; Strong).

Choledocholithiasis

_Guideline 16: Choledocholithiasis during pregnancy may be managed with preoperative endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with sphincterotomy followed by laparoscopic cholecystectomy, laparoscopic common bile duct exploration, or postoperative ERCP (Moderate; Strong).

Laparoscopic Appendectomy

_Guideline 17: Laparoscopic appendectomy may be performed safely in pregnant patients with appendicitis (Moderate; Strong).

Solid Organ Resection

_Guideline 18: Laparoscopic adrenalectomy, nephrectomy, splenectomy and mesenteric cyst excision are safe procedures in pregnant patients (Low; Weak).

Adnexal Mass

_Guideline 19: Laparoscopy is a safe and effective treatment in gravid patients with symptomatic ovarian cystic masses. Observation is acceptable for all other cystic lesions provided ultrasound is not concerning for malignancy and tumor markers are normal. Initial observation is warranted for most cystic lesions < 6 cm in size (Low; Strong).

Adnexal Torsion

_Guideline 20: Laparoscopy is recommended for both diagnosis and treatment of adnexal torsion unless clinical severity warrants laparotomy (Low; Strong).

Perioperative care

Fetal Heart Monitoring

_Guideline 21: Fetal heart monitoring should occur pre and postoperatively in the setting of urgent abdominal surgery during pregnancy (Moderate; Strong).

Obstetrical Consultation

_Guideline 22: Obstetric consultation can be obtained pre- and/or postoperatively based on the severity of the patient's disease and availability (Moderate; Strong).

Tocolytics

_Guideline 23: Tocolytics should not be used prophylactically in pregnant women undergoing surgery but should be considered perioperatively when signs of preterm labor are present (High, Strong).

REFERENCES

1. Kammerer WS (1979) Nonobstetric surgery during pregnancy. The Medical clinics of North America 63:1157-1164
2. Kort B, Katz VL, Watson WJ (1993) The effect of nonobstetric operation during pregnancy. Surgery, gynecology & obstetrics 177:371-376
3. Fallon WF, Jr., Newman JS, Fallon GL, Malangoni MA (1995) The surgical management of intra-abdominal inflammatory conditions during pregnancy. Surg clin North Am 75:15-31
4. GH Guyatt OA, R Kunz, GE Vist, Y Falck-Ytter, HJ Schunemann; GRADE Working Group (2008) GRADE:

- an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 336:924-926
5. Baer J (1932) Appendicitis in pregnancy with changes in position and axis of the normal appendix in pregnancy. *JAMA* 98:1359-1364
 6. Eyvazzadeh AD, Levine D (2006) Imaging of pelvic pain in the first trimester of pregnancy. *Radiologic clinics of North America* 44:863-877
 7. (1977) Medical radiation exposure of pregnant and potentially pregnant women. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements report no. 54, Bethesda, MD
 8. Kennedy A (2000) Assessment of acute abdominal pain in the pregnant patient. *Seminars in ultrasound, CT, and MR* 21:64-77
 9. Toppenberg KS, Hill DA, Miller DP (1999) Safety of radiographic imaging during pregnancy. *Am Fam Physician* 59:1813-1818, 1820
 10. Moore C, Promes SB (2004) Ultrasound in pregnancy. *Emergency medicine clinics of North America* 22:697-722
 11. Lim HK, Bae SH, Seo GS (1992) Diagnosis of acute appendicitis in pregnant women: value of sonography. *Ajr* 159:539-542
 12. Nelson MJ, Cavalieri R, Graham D, Sanders RC (1986) Cysts in pregnancy discovered by sonography. *J Clin Ultrasound* 14:509-512
 13. Timins JK (2001) Radiation during pregnancy. *N J Med* 98:29-33
 14. Karam PA (2000) Determining and reporting fetal radiation exposure from diagnostic radiation. *Health physics* 79:S85-90
 15. Chen MM CF, Kaimal A, Laros Jr RK (2008) Guidelines for computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging use during pregnancy and lactation. *Obstet Gynecol* 112
 16. (1977) Medical radiation exposure of pregnant and potentially pregnant women. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements report No 54: Bethesda, MD
 17. Doll R, Wakeford R (1997) Risk of childhood cancer from fetal irradiation. *The British journal of radiology* 70:130-139
 18. Osei EK, Faulkner K (1999) Fetal doses from radiological examinations. *The British journal of radiology* 72:773-780
 19. Lowe SA (2004) Diagnostic radiography in pregnancy: risks and reality. *The Australian & New Zealand journal of obstetrics & gynaecology* 44:191-196
 20. Menias CO, Elsayes KM, Peterson CM, Huete A, Gratz BI, Bhalla S (2007) CT of pregnancy-related complications. *Emerg Radiol*
 21. Hurwitz LM, Yoshizumi T, Reiman RE, Goodman PC, Paulson EK, Frush DP, Toncheva G, Nguyen G, Barnes L (2006) Radiation dose to the fetus from body MDCT during early gestation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 186:871-876
 22. Forsted DH, Kalbhen CL (2002) CT of pregnant women for urinary tract calculi, pulmonary thromboembolism, and acute appendicitis. *AJR* 178:1285
 23. Patel SJ, Reede DL, Katz DS, Subramaniam R, Amorosa JK (2007) Imaging the pregnant patient for nonobstetric conditions: algorithms and radiation dose considerations. *Radiographics* 27:1705-1722
 24. De Wilde JP, Rivers AW, Price DL (2005) A review of the current use of magnetic resonance imaging in pregnancy and safety implications for the fetus. *Progress in biophysics and molecular biology* 87:335-353
 25. Garcia-Bournissen F, Shrim A, Koren G (2006) Safety of gadolinium during pregnancy. *Canadian family physician Medecin de famille canadien* 52:309-310
 26. Nagayama M, Watanabe Y, Okumura A, Amoh Y, Nakashita S, Dodo Y (2002) Fast MR imaging in obstetrics. *Radiographics* 22:563-580; discussion 580-562
 27. Leyendecker JR, Gorengaut V, Brown JJ (2004) MR imaging of maternal diseases of the abdomen and pelvis during pregnancy and the immediate postpartum period. *Radiographics* 24:1301-1316
 28. McKenna DA, Meehan CP, Alhajeri AN, Regan MC, O'Keeffe DP (2007) The use of MRI to demonstrate

- small bowel obstruction during pregnancy. *The British journal of radiology* 80:e11-14
29. Birchard KR, Brown MA, Hyslop WB, Firat Z, Semelka RC (2005) MRI of acute abdominal and pelvic pain in pregnant patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 184:452-458
 30. Brown MA, Birchard KR, Semelka RC (2005) Magnetic resonance evaluation of pregnant patients with acute abdominal pain. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 26:206-211
 31. Adelstein SJ (1999) Administered radionuclides in pregnancy. *Teratology* 59:236-239
 32. Schaefer C, Meister R, Wentzeck R, Weber-Schoendorfer C (2009) Fetal outcome after technetium scintigraphy in early pregnancy. *Reprod Toxicol* 28:161-166
 33. Karthikesalingam A MS, Weerakkody R, Walsh SR, Carroll N, Praseedom RK (2009) Radiation exposure during laparoscopic cholecystectomy with routine intraoperative cholangiography. *Surg Endosc* 23:1845-1848
 34. Jorgensen JE, Rubenstein, J.H., Goodsitt, M.M., Elta, G.H. (2010) Radiation doses to ERCP patients are significantly lower with experienced endoscopists. *Gastrointest Endosc* 72:58-65.
 35. Andriulli A, Loperfido S, Napolitano G, Niro G, Valvano MR, Spirito F, Pilotto A, Forlano R (2007) Incidence Rates of Post-ERCP Complications: A Systematic Survey of Prospective Studies. *Am J Gastroenterol*
 36. Qureshi WA, Rajan E, Adler DG, Davila RE, Hirota WK, Jacobson BC, Leighton JA, Zuckerman MJ, Hambrick RD, Fanelli RD, Baron T, Faigel DO (2005) ASGE Guideline: Guidelines for endoscopy in pregnant and lactating women. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy* 61:357-362
 37. Quan WL, Chia CK, Yim HB (2006) Safety of endoscopic procedures during pregnancy. *Singapore medical journal* 47:525-528
 38. Tang SJ, Mayo MJ, Rodriguez-Frias E, Armstrong L, Tang L, Sreenarasimhaiah J, Lara LF, Rockey DC (2009) Safety and utility of ERCP during pregnancy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 69:453-461
 39. Reedy MB, Kallen B, Kuehl TJ (1997) Laparoscopy during pregnancy: a study of five fetal outcome parameters with use of the Swedish Health Registry. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 177:673-679
 40. Reedy MB, Galan HL, Richards WE, Preece CK, Wetter PA, Kuehl TJ (1997) Laparoscopy during pregnancy. A survey of laparoendoscopic surgeons. *J Reprod Med* 42:33-38
 41. Gurbuz AT, Peetz ME (1997) The acute abdomen in the pregnant patient. Is there a role for laparoscopy? *Surgical endoscopy* 11:98-102
 42. Buser KB (2002) Laparoscopic surgery in the pregnant patient--one surgeon's experience in a small rural hospital. *Jsls* 6:121-124
 43. Lachman E, Schienfeld A, Voss E, Gino G, Boldes R, Levine S, Borstien M, Stark M (1999) Pregnancy and laparoscopic surgery. *The Journal of the American Association of Gynecologic Laparoscopists* 6:347-351
 44. Fatum M, Rojansky N (2001) Laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Surg* 56:50-59
 45. Curet MJ, Allen D, Josloff RK, Pitcher DE, Curet LB, Miscall BG, Zucker KA (1996) Laparoscopy during pregnancy. *Arch Surg* 131:546-550; discussion 550-541
 46. Conron RW, Jr., Abbruzzi K, Cochrane SO, Sarno AJ, Cochrane PJ (1999) Laparoscopic procedures in pregnancy. *The American surgeon* 65:259-263
 47. Al-Fozan H, Tulandi T (2002) Safety and risks of laparoscopy in pregnancy. *Current opinion in obstetrics & gynecology* 14:375-379
 48. Amos JD, Schorr SJ, Norman PF, Poole GV, Thomae KR, Mancino AT, Hall TJ, Scott-Conner CE (1996) Laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. *American journal of surgery* 171:435-437
 49. Nezhat FR, Tazuke S, Nezhat CH, Seidman DS, Phillips DR, Nezhat CR (1997) Laparoscopy during pregnancy: a literature review. *Jsls* 1:17-27
 50. (1998) Guidelines for laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. *Surgical endoscopy* 12:189-190
 51. Rizzo AG (2003) Laparoscopic surgery in pregnancy: long-term follow-up. *Journal of laparoendoscopic & advanced surgical techniques* 13:11-15
 52. Andreoli M, Servakov M, Meyers P, Mann WJ, Jr. (1999) Laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. *The*

53. Shay DC, Bhavani-Shankar K, Datta S (2001) Laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. *Anesthesiology clinics of North America* 19:57-67
54. Oelsner G, Stockheim D, Soriano D, Goldenberg M, Seidman DS, Cohen SB, Admon D, Novikov I, Maschiach S, Carp HJ, Anderman S, Ben-Ami M, Ben-Arie A, Hagay Z, Bustan M, Shalev E, Carp H, Gemer O, Golan A, Holzinger M, Beyth Y, Horowitz A, Hamani Y, Keis M, Lavie O, Luxman D, Oelsner G, Stockheim D, Rojansky N, Taichner G, Yafe C, Zohar S, Bilanca B (2003) Pregnancy outcome after laparoscopy or laparotomy in pregnancy. *The Journal of the American Association of Gynecologic Laparoscopists* 10:200-204
55. Oelsner G, Stockheim D, Soriano D, Goldenberg M, Seidman DS, Cohen SB, Admon D, Novikov I, Maschiach S, Carp HJ, Anderman S, Ben-Ami M, Ben-Arie A, Hagay Z, Bustan M, Shalev E, Carp H, Gemer O, Golan A, Holzinger M, Beyth Y, Horowitz A, Hamani Y, Keis M, Lavie O, Luxman D, Rojansky N, Taichner G, Yafe C, Zohar S, Bilanca B (2003) Pregnancy outcome after laparoscopy or laparotomy in pregnancy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 10:200-204
56. McKellar DP, Anderson CT, Boynton CJ, Peoples JB (1992) Cholecystectomy during pregnancy without fetal loss. *Surgery, gynecology & obstetrics* 174:465-468
57. Glasgow RE, Visser BC, Harris HW, Patti MG, Kilpatrick SJ, Mulvihill SJ (1998) Changing management of gallstone disease during pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 12:241-246
58. Affleck DG, Handrahan DL, Egger MJ, Price RR (1999) The laparoscopic management of appendicitis and cholelithiasis during pregnancy. *Am J Surg* 178:523-529
59. Barone JE, Bears S, Chen S, Tsai J, Russell JC (1999) Outcome study of cholecystectomy during pregnancy. *Am J Surg* 177:232-236
60. Rollins MD, Chan KJ, Price RR (2003) Laparoscopy for appendicitis and cholelithiasis during pregnancy: a new standard of care. *Surg Endosc*
61. Davis A, Katz VL, Cox R (1995) Gallbladder disease in pregnancy. *J Reprod Med* 40:759-762
62. Muench J, Albrink M, Serafini F, Rosemurgy A, Carey L, Murr MM (2001) Delay in treatment of biliary disease during pregnancy increases morbidity and can be avoided with safe laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am Surg* 67:539-542; discussion 542-533
63. Visser BC, Glasgow RE, Mulvihill KK, Mulvihill SJ (2001) Safety and timing of nonobstetric abdominal surgery in pregnancy. *Dig Surg* 18:409-417
64. Geisler JP, Rose SL, Mernitz CS, Warner JL, Hiatt AK (1998) Non-gynecologic laparoscopy in second and third trimester pregnancy: obstetric implications. *Jsls* 2:235-238
65. Stepp K, Falcone T (2004) Laparoscopy in the second trimester of pregnancy. *Obstetrics and gynecology clinics of North America* 31:485-496, vii
66. Pucci RO, Seed RW (1991) Case report of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the third trimester of pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 165:401-402
67. Weber AM, Bloom GP, Allan TR, Curry SL (1991) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 78:958-959
68. Williams JK, Rosemurgy AS, Albrink MH, Parsons MT, Stock S (1995) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in pregnancy. A case report. *J Reprod Med* 40:243-245
69. Arvidsson D, Gerdin E (1991) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during pregnancy. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 1:193-194
70. Costantino GN, Vincent GJ, Mukalian GG, Kliefoth WL, Jr. (1994) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in pregnancy. *J Laparoendosc Surg* 4:161-164
71. Soriano D, Yefet Y, Seidman DS, Goldenberg M, Mashiach S, Oelsner G (1999) Laparoscopy versus laparotomy in the management of adnexal masses during pregnancy. *Fertil Steril* 71:955-960
72. Curet MJ (2000) Special problems in laparoscopic surgery. Previous abdominal surgery, obesity, and pregnancy. *Surg Clin North Am* 80:1093-1110
73. Elkayam U GN (1982) *Cardiovascular physiology of pregnancy*, Alan R Liss, New York

74. Clark SL, Cotton DB, Pivarnik JM, Lee W, Hankins GD, Benedetti TJ, Phelan JP (1991) Position change and central hemodynamic profile during normal third-trimester pregnancy and post partum. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 164:883-887
75. Gordon MC (2002) Maternal Physiology in Pregnancy. In: Gabbe SG, J.R. Niebyl, J.L. Simpson (ed) *Obstetrics: Normal and Problem Pregnancies*, Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia, pp 63-91
76. Friedman JD, Ramsey PS, Ramin KD, Berry C (2002) Pneumoamnion and pregnancy loss after second-trimester laparoscopic surgery. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 99:512-513
77. Halpern NB (1998) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in pregnancy: a review of published experiences and clinical considerations. *Semin Laparosc Surg* 5:129-134
78. Lemaire BM, van Erp WF (1997) Laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 11:15-18
79. Canis M, Rabischong B, Houille C, Botchorishvili R, Jardon K, Safi A, Wattiez A, Mage G, Pouly JL, Bruhat MA (2002) Laparoscopic management of adnexal masses: a gold standard? *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 14:423-428
80. Malangoni MA (2003) Gastrointestinal surgery and pregnancy. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 32:181-200
81. Wang CJ, Yen CF, Lee CL, Soong YK (2002) Minilaparoscopic cystectomy and appendectomy in late second trimester. *Jsls* 6:373-375
82. Akira S, Yamanaka A, Ishihara T, Takeshita T, Araki T (1999) Gasless laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy during pregnancy: comparison with laparotomy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 180:554-557
83. Murakami T, Noda T, Okamura C, Terada Y, Morito Y, Okamura K (2003) Cul-de-sac packing with a metreurynter in gasless laparoscopic cystectomy during pregnancy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 10:421-423
84. Schmidt T, Nawroth F, Foth D, Rein DT, Romer T, Mallmann P (2001) Gasless laparoscopy as an option for conservative therapy of adnexal pedical torsion with twin pregnancy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 8:621-622
85. Romer T, Bojahr B, Schwesinger G (2002) Treatment of a torqued hematosalpinx in the thirteenth week of pregnancy using gasless laparoscopy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 9:89-92
86. Melgrati L, Damiani A, Franzoni G, Marziali M, Sesti F (2005) Isobaric (gasless) laparoscopic myomectomy during pregnancy. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 12:379-381
87. Matsumoto T, Nishi M, Yokota M, Ito M (1999) Laparoscopic treatment of uterine prolapse during pregnancy. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 93:849
88. Oguri H, Taniguchi K, Fukaya T (2005) Gasless laparoscopic management of ovarian cysts during pregnancy. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 91:258-259
89. Iafrati MD, Yarnell R, Schwaitzberg SD (1995) Gasless laparoscopic cholecystectomy in pregnancy. *J Laparoendosc Surg* 5:127-130
90. Hume RF, Killiam AP (1990) Maternal Physiology. In: Scott JR, J. KiSaia, D.B. Hammon (ed) *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, JB Lippincott, Philadelphia, pp 93-100
91. (1998) Guidelines for laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES). *Surg Endosc* 12:189-190
92. Hunter JG, Swanstrom L, Thornburg K (1995) Carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum induces fetal acidosis in a pregnant ewe model. *Surg Endosc* 9:272-277; discussion 277-279
93. Reedy MB, Galan HL, Bean-Lijewski JD, Carnes A, Knight AB, Kuehl TJ (1995) Maternal and fetal effects of laparoscopic insufflation in the gravid baboon. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 2:399-406
94. Curet MJ, Vogt DA, Schob O, Qualls C, Izquierdo LA, Zucker KA (1996) Effects of CO₂ pneumoperitoneum in pregnant ewes. *J Surg Res* 63:339-344
95. Barnard JM, Chaffin D, Droste S, Tierney A, Phernetton T (1995) Fetal response to carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum in the pregnant ewe. *Obstet Gynecol* 85:669-674
96. Cruz AM, Southerland LC, Duke T, Townsend HG, Ferguson JG, Crone LA (1996) Intraabdominal carbon dioxide insufflation in the pregnant ewe. Uterine blood flow, intraamniotic pressure, and cardiopulmonary effects. *Anesthesiology* 85:1395-1402

97. Soper NJ, Hunter JG, Petrie RH (1992) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 6:115-117
98. Comitalo JB, Lynch D (1994) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the pregnant patient. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 4:268-271
99. Bhavani-Shankar K, Steinbrook RA, Brooks DC, Datta S (2000) Arterial to end-tidal carbon dioxide pressure difference during laparoscopic surgery in pregnancy. *Anesthesiology* 93:370-373
100. Melnick DM, Wahl WL, Dalton VK (2004) Management of general surgical problems in the pregnant patient. *Am J Surg* 187:170-180
101. Jorgensen JO, Lalak NJ, North L, Hanel K, Hunt DR, Morris DL (1994) Venous stasis during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 4:128-133
102. (1992) Risk of and prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism in hospital patients. Thromboembolic Risk Factors (THRIFT) Consensus Group. *Bmj* 305:567-574
103. Casele HL (2006) The use of unfractionated heparin and low molecular weight heparins in pregnancy. *Clinical obstetrics and gynecology* 49:895-905
104. Hiatt JR, Hiatt JC, Williams RA, Klein SR (1986) Biliary disease in pregnancy: strategy for surgical management. *Am J Surg* 151:263-265
105. Ghumman E, Barry M, Grace PA (1997) Management of gallstones in pregnancy. *Br J Surg* 84:1646-1650
106. Chamogeorgakis T, Lo Menzo E, Smink RD, Jr., Feuerstein B, Fantazzio M, Kaufman J, Brennan EJ, Russell R (1999) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during pregnancy: three case reports. *Jsls* 3:67-69
107. Steinbrook RA, Brooks DC, Datta S (1996) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during pregnancy. Review of anesthetic management, surgical considerations. *Surg Endosc* 10:511-515
108. Date RS, Kaushal M, Ramesh A (2008) A review of the management of gallstone disease and its complications in pregnancy. *Am J Surg* 196:599-608
109. Scott LD (1992) Gallstone disease and pancreatitis in pregnancy. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 21:803-815
110. Printen KJ, Ott RA (1978) Cholecystectomy during pregnancy. *Am Surg* 44:432-434
111. Jelin EB, Smink DS, Vernon AH, Brooks DC (2008) Management of biliary tract disease during pregnancy: a decision analysis. *Surg Endosc* 22:54-60
112. Graham G, Baxi L, Tharakan T (1998) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during pregnancy: a case series and review of the literature. *Obstet Gynecol Surg* 53:566-574
113. DeVore GR (1980) Acute abdominal pain in the pregnant patient due to pancreatitis, acute appendicitis, cholecystitis, or peptic ulcer disease. *Clin Perinatol* 7:349-369
114. Borum ML (1998) Hepatobiliary diseases in women. *Med Clin North Am* 82:51-75
115. Tuech JJ, Binelli C, Aube C, Pessaux P, Fauvet R, Descamps P, Arnaud JP (2000) Management of choledocholithiasis during pregnancy by magnetic resonance cholangiography and laparoscopic common bile duct stone extraction. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 10:323-325
116. Baillie J, Cairns SR, Putman WS, Cotton PB (1990) Endoscopic management of choledocholithiasis during pregnancy. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 171:1-4
117. Sungler P, Heinerman PM, Steiner H, Waclawiczek HW, Holzinger J, Mayer F, Heuberger A, Boeckl O (2000) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and interventional endoscopy for gallstone complications during pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 14:267-271
118. Cosenza CA, Saffari B, Jabbour N, Stain SC, Garry D, Parekh D, Selby RR (1999) Surgical management of biliary gallstone disease during pregnancy. *Am J Surg* 178:545-548
119. Barthel JS, Chowdhury T, Miedema BW (1998) Endoscopic sphincterotomy for the treatment of gallstone pancreatitis during pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 12:394-399
120. Scapa E (1995) To do or not to do an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in acute biliary pancreatitis? *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 5:453-454
121. Andreoli M, Sayegh SK, Hoefler R, Matthews G, Mann WJ (1996) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for

- recurrent gallstone pancreatitis during pregnancy. *South Med J* 89:1114-1115
122. Korndorffer JR Jr, Fellinger E, Reed W (2010) SAGES guideline for laparoscopic appendectomy. *Surg Endosc* 24:757-761
 123. Schwartzberg BS, Conyers JA, Moore JA (1997) First trimester of pregnancy laparoscopic procedures. *Surg Endosc* 11:1216-1217
 124. Thomas SJ, Brisson P (1998) Laparoscopic appendectomy and cholecystectomy during pregnancy: six case reports. *Jsls* 2:41-46
 125. Barnes SL, Shane MD, Schoemann MB, Bernard AC, Boulanger BR (2004) Laparoscopic appendectomy after 30 weeks pregnancy: report of two cases and description of technique. *Am Surg* 70:733-736
 126. de Perrot M, Jenny A, Morales M, Kohlik M, Morel P (2000) Laparoscopic appendectomy during pregnancy. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 10:368-371
 127. Schreiber JH (1990) Laparoscopic appendectomy in pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 4:100-102
 128. Sadot E, Telem DA, Arora M, Butala P, Nguyen SQ, Divino CM Laparoscopy: a safe approach to appendicitis during pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 24:383-389
 129. Lemieux P, Rheume P, Levesque I, Bujold E, Brochu G (2009) Laparoscopic appendectomy in pregnant patients: a review of 45 cases. *Surg Endosc* 23:1701-1705
 130. McGory ML, Zingmond DS, Tillou A, Hiatt JR, Ko CY, Cryer HM (2007) Negative appendectomy in pregnant women is associated with a substantial risk of fetal loss. *J Am Coll Surg* 205:534-540
 131. Shalhav AL, Landman J, Afane J, Levi R, Clayman RV (2000) Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for primary hyperaldosteronism during pregnancy. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 10:169-171
 132. Finkenstedt G, Gasser RW, Hofle G, Lhotta K, Kolle D, Gschwendtner A, Janetschek G (1999) Pheochromocytoma and sub-clinical Cushing's syndrome during pregnancy: diagnosis, medical pre-treatment and cure by laparoscopic unilateral adrenalectomy. *J Endocrinol Invest* 22:551-557
 133. Aishima M, Tanaka M, Haraoka M, Naito S (2000) Retroperitoneal laparoscopic adrenalectomy in a pregnant woman with Cushing's syndrome. *J Urol* 164:770-771
 134. Lo CY, Lo CM, Lam KY (2002) Cushing's syndrome secondary to adrenal adenoma during pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 16:219-220
 135. Janetschek G, Finkenstedt G, Gasser R, Waibel UG, Peschel R, Bartsch G, Neumann HP (1998) Laparoscopic surgery for pheochromocytoma: adrenalectomy, partial resection, excision of paragangliomas. *J Urol* 160:330-334
 136. Demeure MJ, Carlsen B, Traul D, Budney C, Lalande B, Lipinski A, Cruikshank D, Kotchen T, Wilson S (1998) Laparoscopic removal of a right adrenal pheochromocytoma in a pregnant woman. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 8:315-319
 137. Pace DE, Chiasson PM, Schlachta CM, Mamazza J, Cadeddu MO, Poulin EC (2002) Minimally invasive adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma during pregnancy. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 12:122-125
 138. Gagner M, Breton G, Pharand D, Pomp A (1996) Is laparoscopic adrenalectomy indicated for pheochromocytomas? *Surgery* 120:1076-1079; discussion 1079-1080
 139. Wolf A, Goretzki PE, Rohrborn A, Feldkamp J, Simon D, Scherbaum WA, Roher HD (2004) Pheochromocytoma during pregnancy: laparoscopic and conventional surgical treatment of two cases. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 112:98-101
 140. Kim PT, Kreisman SH, Vaughn R, Panton ON (2006) Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma in pregnancy. *Can J Surg* 49:62-63
 141. Griffiths J, Sia W, Shapiro AM, Tataryn I, Turner AR (2005) Laparoscopic splenectomy for the treatment of refractory immune thrombocytopenia in pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 27:771-774
 142. Hardwick RH, Slade RR, Smith PA, Thompson MH (1999) Laparoscopic splenectomy in pregnancy. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 9:439-440
 143. Allran CF, Jr., Weiss CA, 3rd, Park AE (2002) Urgent laparoscopic splenectomy in a morbidly obese

- pregnant woman: case report and literature review. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 12:445-447
144. Iwase K, Higaki J, Yoon HE, Mikata S, Tanaka Y, Takahashi T, Hatanaka K, Tamaki T, Hori S, Mitsuda N, Kamiike W (2001) Hand-assisted laparoscopic splenectomy for idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura during pregnancy. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 11:53-56
 145. Anglin BV, Rutherford C, Ramus R, Lieser M, Jones DB (2001) Immune thrombocytopenic purpura during pregnancy: laparoscopic treatment. *Jsls* 5:63-67
 146. O'Connor JP, Biyani CS, Taylor J, Agarwal V, Curley PJ, Browning AJ (2004) Laparoscopic nephrectomy for renal-cell carcinoma during pregnancy. *J Endourol* 18:871-874
 147. Sainsbury DC, Dorkin TJ, MacPhail S, Soomro NA (2004) Laparoscopic radical nephrectomy in first-trimester pregnancy. *Urology* 64:1231 e1237-1238
 148. Bozzo M, Buscaglia M, Ferrazzi E (1997) The management of persistent adnexal masses in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 177:981-982
 149. Thornton JG, Wells M (1987) Ovarian cysts in pregnancy: does ultrasound make traditional management inappropriate? *Obstet Gynecol* 69:717-721
 150. Grimes WH, Jr., Bartholomew RA, Colvin ED, Fish JS, Lester WM (1954) Ovarian cyst complicating pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 68:594-605
 151. Sherard GB, 3rd, Hodson CA, Williams HJ, Semer DA, Hadi HA, Tait DL (2003) Adnexal masses and pregnancy: a 12-year experience. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 189:358-362; discussion 362-353
 152. Hess LW, Peaceman A, O'Brien WF, Winkel CA, Cruikshank DP, Morrison JC (1988) Adnexal mass occurring with intrauterine pregnancy: report of fifty-four patients requiring laparotomy for definitive management. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 158:1029-1034
 153. Schmeler KM, Mayo-Smith WW, Peipert JF, Weitzen S, Manuel MD, Gordinier ME (2005) Adnexal masses in pregnancy: surgery compared with observation. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 105:1098-1103
 154. Zanetta G, Mariani E, Lissoni A, Ceruti P, Trio D, Strobelt N, Mariani S (2003) A prospective study of the role of ultrasound in the management of adnexal masses in pregnancy. *Bjog* 110:578-583
 155. Condous G, Khalid A, Okaro E, Bourne T (2004) Should we be examining the ovaries in pregnancy? Prevalence and natural history of adnexal pathology detected at first-trimester sonography. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 24:62-66
 156. (2007) ACOG Practice Bulletin. Management of adnexal masses. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 110:201-214
 157. Nezhat F, Nezhat C, Silfen SL, Fehnel SH (1991) Laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy during pregnancy. *J Laparoendosc Surg* 1:161-164
 158. Parker WH, Levine RL, Howard FM, Sansone B, Berek JS (1994) A multicenter study of laparoscopic management of selected cystic adnexal masses in postmenopausal women. *J Am Coll Surg* 179:733-737
 159. Tazuke SI, Nezhat FR, Nezhat CH, Seidman DS, Phillips DR, Nezhat CR (1997) Laparoscopic management of pelvic pathology during pregnancy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 4:605-608
 160. Chung MK, Chung RP (2001) Laparoscopic extracorporeal oophorectomy and ovarian cystectomy in second trimester pregnant obese patients. *Jsls* 5:273-277
 161. Yuen PM, Chang AM (1997) Laparoscopic management of adnexal mass during pregnancy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 76:173-176
 162. Loh FH, Chua SP, Khalil R, Ng SC (1998) Case report of ruptured endometriotic cyst in pregnancy treated by laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy. *Singapore medical journal* 39:368-369
 163. Stepp KJ, Tulikangas PK, Goldberg JM, Attaran M, Falcone T (2003) Laparoscopy for adnexal masses in the second trimester of pregnancy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 10:55-59
 164. Neiswender LL, Toub DB (1997) Laparoscopic excision of pelvic masses during pregnancy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 4:269-272
 165. Yuen PM, Ng PS, Leung PL, Rogers MS (2004) Outcome in laparoscopic management of persistent adnexal mass during the second trimester of pregnancy. *Surg Endosc* 18:1354-1357

166. Parker J, Watkins W, Robinson H, Byrne D (1995) Laparoscopic adnexal surgery during pregnancy: a case of heterotopic tubal pregnancy treated by laparoscopic salpingectomy. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 35:208-210
167. Moore RD, Smith WG (1999) Laparoscopic management of adnexal masses in pregnant women. *J Reprod Med* 44:97-100
168. Lin YH, Hwang JL, Huang LW, Seow KM (2003) Successful laparoscopic management of a huge ovarian tumor in the 27th week of pregnancy. A case report. *J Reprod Med* 48:834-836
169. Mathevet P, Nessah K, Dargent D, Mellier G (2003) Laparoscopic management of adnexal masses in pregnancy: a case series. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 108:217-222
170. Struyk AP, Treffers PE (1984) Ovarian tumors in pregnancy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 63:421-424
171. Nichols DH, Julian PJ (1985) Torsion of the adnexa. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 28:375-380
172. Mage G, Canis M, Manhes H, Pouly JL, Bruhat MA (1989) Laparoscopic management of adnexal torsion. A review of 35 cases. *J Reprod Med* 34:520-524
173. Garzarelli S, Mazzuca N (1994) One laparoscopic puncture for treatment of ovarian cysts with adnexal torsion in early pregnancy. A report of two cases. *J Reprod Med* 39:985-986
174. Morice P, Louis-Sylvestre C, Chapron C, Dubuisson JB (1997) Laparoscopy for adnexal torsion in pregnant women. *J Reprod Med* 42:435-439
175. Abu-Musa A, Nassar A, Usta I, Khalil A, Hussein M (2001) Laparoscopic unwinding and cystectomy of twisted dermoid cyst during second trimester of pregnancy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 8:456-460
176. Bassil S, Steinhart U, Donnez J (1999) Successful laparoscopic management of adnexal torsion during week 25 of a twin pregnancy. *Hum Reprod* 14:855-857
177. Argenta PA, Yeagley TJ, Ott G, Sondheimer SJ (2000) Torsion of the uterine adnexa. Pathologic correlations and current management trends. *J Reprod Med* 45:831-836
178. Tarraza HM, Moore RD (1997) Gynecologic causes of the acute abdomen and the acute abdomen in pregnancy. *Surg Clin North Am* 77:1371-1394
179. Oelsner G, Bider D, Goldenberg M, Admon D, Mashiach S (1993) Long-term follow-up of the twisted ischemic adnexa managed by detorsion. *Fertil Steril* 60:976-979
180. Cappell MS, Friedel D (2003) Abdominal pain during pregnancy. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 32:1-58
181. Sharp HT (2002) The acute abdomen during pregnancy. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 45:405-413
182. Katz VL, Farmer RM (1999) Controversies in tocolytic therapy. *Clinical obstetrics and gynecology* 42:802-819
183. Berkman ND, Thorp JM, Jr., Lohr KN, Carey TS, Hartmann KE, Gavin NI, Hasselblad V, Ilicic AE (2003) Tocolytic treatment for the management of preterm labor: a review of the evidence. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 188:1648-1659
184. Tan TC, Devendra K, Tan LK, Tan HK (2006) Tocolytic treatment for the management of preterm labour: a systematic review. *Singapore medical journal* 47:361-366
185. Romero R, Sibai BM, Sanchez-Ramos L, Valenzuela GJ, Veille JC, Tabor B, Perry KG, Varner M, Goodwin TM, Lane R, Smith J, Shangold G, Creasy GW (2000) An oxytocin receptor antagonist (atosiban) in the treatment of preterm labor: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with tocolytic rescue. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 182:1173-1183

Table 1: GRADE system for rating the quality of evidence for SAGES guidelines.

Quality of Evidence	Definition	Symbol Used
High quality	Further research is very unlikely to alter confidence in the estimate of impact	
Moderate quality	Further research is likely to alter confidence in the estimate of impact and may change the estimate	
Low quality	Further research is very likely to alter confidence in the estimate of impact and is likely to change the estimate	
Very low quality	Any estimate of impact is uncertain	

Adapted from Guyatt et al.

Table 2: GRADE system for recommendations based on the quality of evidence for SAGES guidelines.

Strong	It is very certain that benefit exceeds risk for the option considered	
Weak	Risk and benefit well balanced, patients in differing clinical situations would make different choices, or benefits available but not certain	

Adapted from Guyatt et al.

4. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al; GRADE Working Group. GRADE: An emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336:924-6.

Table 3. Radiation Exposure to Conceptus in Common Radiologic Studies[15, 33, 34]

<u>Study</u>	<u>Radiation Exposure (rads)</u>
<u>Abdominal Radiograph</u>	<u>0.1-0.3</u>
<u>Intraoperative Cholangiography</u>	<u>0.2</u>
<u>Lumbar Spine Radiograph</u>	<u>0.6</u>
<u>Intravenous Pyelogram</u>	<u>0.6</u>

Barium Enema	0.7
CT of Pelvis	1-5
ERCP(without pelvic shielding)	2-12.5

This document was prepared and revised by the SAGES Guidelines Committee:

Jonathan P. Pearl, MD
Raymond R. Price, MD
Ziad T. Awad, MD
Simon Bergman, MD
Ronald H. Clements, MD
David B. Earle, MD
David S. Edelman, MD
Liane S. Feldman, MD
Erika K. Fellingner, MD
Shannon A. Fraser, MD
Stephen P. Haggerty, MD
William W. Hope, MD
Ifeoma J. Igboeli, MD
Geoffrey P. Kohn, MD
Henry J. Lujan, MD
Lisa R. Martin Hawver, MD
Sumeet K. Mittal, MD
Erica A. Moran, MD
David W. Overby, MD
Kurt E. Roberts, MD
John S. Roth, MD
Alan A. Saber, MD
J. R. Salameh, MD
Dimitrios Stefanidis, MD
Andrew S. Wright, MD
Jin S. Yoo, MD
Joerg Zehetner, MD
Marc Zerey, MD
William S. Richardson, MD (Co-Chair)
Robert D. Fanelli, MD, FACS (Chair)

It was reviewed and approved by the Board of Governors of the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES), January 2011.

For more information please contact:

Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES)
11300 West Olympic Blvd., Suite 600
Los Angeles, CA 90064
PHONE: (310) 437-0544
FAX: (310) 437 0585
E-MAIL: publications@sages.org
<http://www.sages.org/>

This is a revision of a SAGES publication, which was printed September 2007.

This document is Copyright © 1995 - 2011 [Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons](#) | All Rights Reserved